EXHIBIT A

Case 1:24-cv-00206-RDA-LRV Document 1-1	Filed 02/12/24 Page 2 of 25 PageID# 6	NEXT HEARING
mmonwealth of Virginia VA. CODE § 16.1-79; 16.1-122.3		DATE AND TIME
Ex-Carc (ax b		January 18
General District Court	PLAINTIFF(S) (LAST NAME, FIRST NAME, MIDDLE INITIAL)	2.24
Mo Chain Broke Road Con 110 220	Jay Sodsky	2 1
STREET ADDRESS OF COURT 1-21 1-20 1 A 220%	7911 Westmak Dolve # 1929	9:3. HM
ANY AUTHORIZED OFFICER: You are hereby commanded to summon the Defendant(s).	McLean 14-22102	
THE DEFENDANT(S): You are summoned to appear before this Court at the above address on	1 cc.(30 11 22)	•
to answer the Plaintiff(s)' civil claim (see below)	V.	
REFURN DATE AND TIME	DETENDANTED & ACTIVANCE PROTIVANCE AGENCY DISTANCE	
DATE ISSUED [] CLERK [] DEPUTY CLERK [] MAGISTRATE	DEFENDANT(S) (LAST NAME, FIRST NAME, MIDDLE INITIAL)	
DATE ISSUED [] CLERK [] DEPUTY CLERK [] MAGISTRATE	respected the	
AIM: Plaintiff(s) claim that Defendant(s) owe Plaintiff(s) a debt in the sum of	595 West Part HUR	
net of any credits, with interest at% from	1 1201 celle FT 5 1851	
INTEREST RATE DATE FROM WHICH IS DUE	Nervalled 06831	
Costs with the basis of this claim being		
Open Account [] Contract [] Note \(\) Other (EXPLAIN)	WARRANT IN DEBT-	
Discommation Shader Virgura Human English Con	SMALL CLAIMS DIVISION	
MESTEAD EXEMPTION WAIVED? [] YES [] NO [] cannot be demanded		-
10/6/02	* * *	
DATE PLAINTIFF'S EMPLOYEE	TO DEFENDANT: You are not required to appear,	
	however, if you fail to appear, judgment may be entered	
SE DISPOSITION	against you. By law, this case must be tried on the	
JUDGMENT that the Plaintiff(s) recover against [] named Defendant(s) []	return date above unless all parties agree upon a different date for trial. Other continuances shall be	
net of any credits, with interest at% from until paid.	granted by the court only for good cause shown.	
INTEREST RATE DATE FROM WHICH IS DUE	* * *	
COSTS		JUDGMENT PAID OR
MESTEAD EXEMPTION WAIVED? [] YES [] NO [] CANNOT BE DEMANDED	Grounds of Defense	SATISFIED PURSUANT TO ATTACHED NOTIC
JUDGMENT FOR [] NAMED DEFENDANT(S)]	ORDERED DUE	OF
NON-SUIT [] DISMISSED	1	SATISFACTION
endant(s) Present? [] YES		
[] NO Indemnifying bond of \$ secured [] unsecured required for lost instrument		
(Va. Code § 8.01-32)		DATE
	DISABILITY ACCOMMODATIONS for loss of	
12/	hearing, vision, mobility, etc., contact the court	CLERK
DATE	ahead of time.	
(7
1DC-402 FRONT 10/07		

Case 1:24-cv-00206-RDA-LRV Document 1-1 Filed 02/12/24 Page 3 of 25 PageID# 7

Case 1.24-CV-00200-1	RDA-LRV Document 1-1 Filed 02/12/24 Pd	ige 3 of 25 PageID# 1
Gransfer to Another Locality: If the Defendant pelieves that Plaintiff(s) should have filed this suit in a different city or county, you may file a written request	NAME	NAME
to have the case moved for trial to the general district court of that city or county. To do so, you must do the following:	ADDRESS	ADDRESS
 Prepare a written request which contains (a) this court's name, (b) the case number and the "return date" as shown on the other side of this form in the top right corner, (c) Plaintiff(s)' name(s) and Defendant(s)' name(s), (d) "I move to object to venue of this case in this court because" and state the reasons for your objection and also state in which city or county the case should be tried, and (e) your signature and mailing address. File the written request in the clerk's office before the trial date (use the mail at your own risk) or give it to the judge when your case is called on the return date. Also send or deliver a copy to plaintiff. If mailed to court, you will be notified of the judge's decision. 	[] PERSONAL SERVICE Tel. No. [] Being unable to make personal service, a copy was delivered in the following manner: [] Delivered to family member (not temporary sojourner or guest) age 16 or older at usual place of abode of party named above after giving information of its purport. List name, age of recipient, and relations of recipient to party named above. [] Posted on front door or such other door as appears to be the main entrance of usual place of abode, address listed above. (Other authorized recipient not found.) [] Served on Secretary of the Commonwealth [] Served on Clerk of the State Corporation Commission.	[] PERSONAL SERVICE Tel. No
REMOVAL TO GENERAL DISTRICT COURT I, the undersigned defendant, am exercising my right to remojurisdiction by signing and giving this notice to this court be	fore the case is decided.	I certify that I mailed a copy of this document to a defendants named therein at the address shown therein on DATE DATE PLAINTIFF SEMPLOYEE
[] oral [] written notice of removal has been received the		,
DATE	[]CLERK []JUDGE	

Jay Brodsky - Advocate and Activist for Disability Rights

7911 Westpark Drive, #1429

Mclean, VA 22102

Telephone: (973) 568-1666

E-mail: legallyblind419@gmail.com

Case No.

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA GENERAL DISTRICT COURT SMALL CLAIMS PART

THE MATTER OF:

Issues before the Court,

JAY BRODSKY PLAINTIFF Chapter 39. Virginia Human Rights Act » § 2.2-3904.

-against-

CAMPBELL SOUP COMPANY d/b/a
PEPPERIDGEFARMINC.
DEFENDANT(s)

COMPLAINT

I. INTRODUCTION

- 1. JAY BRODSKY, (Plaintiff),' on behalf of himself as self represented asserts the following claims against, CAMPBELL SOUP COMPANY d/b/a PEPPERIDGE FARM INC. (Defendant) (hereinafter, PEPPERIDGE FARM) is as follows:
- 2. Plaintiff brings this complaint against PEPPERIDGE FARM for its failure to design, construct, maintain, and market its goods and products to be fully and equally accessible too, and independently usable by Plaintiff who ia a blind and visually impaired individual. Defendants denial of full and equal access to its goods and products which are sold and marketed through public accommodations such as Supermarket, Pharmacies, Bodegas, Deli's, online and other similarly situated retail outlets such as Amazon Fresh is a violation of Plaintiffs rights under Chapter 39. Virginia Human Rights Act » § 2.2-3904.
- 4. Because Defendants, "PEPPERIDGE FARM WHOLE GRAIN BREAD" and other goods and products it markets and sells, bears imperceptible information labels which in consonance to State and Federal law are exclusively accessible to non-disabled persons. Blind and visually impaired

persons such as Plaintiff cannot fully and equally access Defendants important SELL BY DATE information so as to be equal to non-disabled persons. Plaintiff seeks injunctive and monetary relief to cause a change to Defendants dissemination of important consumer information and warnings.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 5. The General District Court of the Commonwealth of Virginia has subject matter jurisdiction over this action under Chapter 39. Virginia Human Rights Act » § 2.2-3904..
- 6. The venue is proper under Chapter 39. Virginia Human Rights Act » §

 2.2-3904. because Defendant conducts a significant amount of business in the Commonwealth of Virginia and a substantial portion of the conduct complained of hereinbelow occurred in the Commonwealth of Virginia where its products are sold and marketed to retail outlets such as Giant, Safewat and Wegmans to name a few.

III. THE PARTIES

- 7. Plaintiff is a permanent resident of McLean Virginia.
- 8. Defendant is at all relevant times a Domestic and multi-national Corporation doing business in the Commonwealth of Virginia.

9. Defendants PEPPERIDGE FARM WHOLE GRAIN BREAD" and other goods and products are sold and marketed through various retail outlets and online which are public accommodations within the definition of Chapter 39. Virginia Human Rights Act » § 2.2-3904.

IV. NATURE OF ACTION

10. Plaintiff has a right to access Defendants important consumer safety information on its products and has a right to determine information regarding food safety equal to non-disabled persons.

V. STATEMENT OF FACTS

11. Defendants PEPPERIDGE FARM WHOLE GRAIN BREAD" and other similar products are being sold and marketed through various retail outlets and online which are places of public accommodations under, Chapter 39. Virginia Human Rights Act » § 2.2-3904.. Defendant sells and markets a wide array of products to the public which includes PEPPERIDGE FARM WHOLE GRAIN BREAD" that bears information such as SELL BY DATES that is essential for consumer safety.

- 12. Plaintiff is a 'legally blind and visually impaired person who requires the use of contrasting and discernible print on goods and products which are applied affixed to its products in the Commonwealth of Virginia.
- 13. While attempting to access Defendants SELL BY DATE and SAFETY information, Plaintiff encountered more than one accessibility barrier which includes:
 - a. Lack of contrasting print so as to be fully and equally visualized or accessed by low vision individuals.
 - b. Lack of large enough print on its important consumer labelsing so as to be equally accessible or visible to low vision individuals who are members of a protected class;

DEFENDANT MUST REMOVE BARRIERS FROM ITS PRODUCTS

14. Due to the inaccessibility of Defendants important consumer information on its goods and products, Plaintiff is subjected to negligent and intentional discrimination.

¹ Plaintiff has been awarded Social Security Disability benefits in 2016

- 15. Through Plaintiffs attempts to access Defendants important consumer information on its products, Plaintiff has actual first hand knowledge of the access barriers regarding SAFETY AND FRESHNESS of its products.
- 16. Because compliance with the ADA and Chapter 39. Virginia Human Rights

 Act » § 2.2-3904. would provide Plaintiff with full and equal access to

 Defendants important information, Plaintiff alleges that Defendant

 engages in acts of negligent and intentional discrimination, including but not

 limited to the following policies or practices:
 - a. Constructing and maintaining important SELL BY DATE information on its goods and products which are not fully and equally accessible to Plaintiff who is a member of a protected class;
- b. Failure to construct and maintain important consumer labels which are accessible to and usable by low vision persons so as to be sufficiently intuitive and fully and equally usable by Plaintiff;

and,

c. Failing to take actions to remediate the access barriers identified hereinabove in the face of substantial harm and discrimination to Plaintiff who is a member of a protected class.

17. Chapter 39. Virginia Human Rights Act » § 2.2-3904. contemplates the monetary relief Plaintiff seeks in this action. Chapter 39. Virginia Human Rights Act » § 2.2-3904. requires in the case of violations of this title, relief



shall include full and equal access to its goods and products and to make them readily accessible too and usable by Plaintiff so as to be equal to non-disabled individuals.

18. Because Defendants important consumer information has never been fully and equally accessible to Plaintiff and because it is presently inaccessible and unusable, Plaintiff invokes his rights under Chapter 39. Virginia Human Rights Act » § 2.2-3904. and the ADA and seeks relief that will compel Defendant to comport with the ADA and Chapter 39. Virginia Human Rights Act » § 2.2-3904.. Plaintiff seeks that this relief requires Defendant to:

- a. Train its employees who develop its consumer labeling to redesign it to be fully and equally accessible to all persons disabled and non-disabled alike;
- b. Regularly check the accessibility of its important consumer labeling to assure that it comports with the ADA and Chapter 39. Virginia Human Rights Act » § 2.2-3904.;
- 19. Defendant generates significant revenues from selling and marketing its goods and products to the public. The amounts generated are far greater than the cost of remediating its important consumer labels so as to be fully and equally accessible to Plaintiff and similarly situated low vision individuals.
- 20. Without relief, Plaintiff will continue to be unable to independently access its consumer labels which violates his Civil Rights.

VI. FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION VIOLATIONS of Chapter 39. Virginia Human Rights Act » § 2.2-3904.

- 21. Plaintiff repeats and realleges every allegation of the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 22. Chapter 39. Virginia Human Rights Act » § 2.2-3904. provides that unlawful discriminatory practice exists for any person, owner, lessee, proprietor, manager, superintendent, agent or employee of any place of public

- accommodation or manufacturer of products which are sold or marketed through public accommodations, because of the disability of any person, directly or indirectly, to refuse, withhold from or deny the accommodations, advantages, facilities or privileges thereof.
- 23. Defendant is a person within the meaning of Chapter 39. Virginia Human Rights Act » § 2.2-3904..
- 24. Defendant violates the ADA and Chapter 39. Virginia Human Rights Act » §
 2.2-3904. because it refuses to remove access barriers from its consumer labels. As a result its consumer labels which are affixed to its products, are inaccessible to Plaintiff and all individuals who are similarly situated.
- 25. Under Chapter 39. Virginia Human Rights Act » § 2.2-3904. unlawful discrimination includes a refusal to make reasonable modifications in policies, practices, or procedures, when they are necessary to allow its goods and products to be fully and equally accessible to persons with disabilities unless it would result in an undue burden.
- 26. Defendant engages in negligent and intentional discrimination against

 Plaintiff who is a member of a protected class in violation of Chapter 39.

 Virginia Human Rights Act » § 2,2-3904. in that Defendant has:

a. constructs and maintains consumer labels which are not fully and equally accessible to Plaintiff with knowledge of the discrimination; and,

b. constructs and maintains consumer labels which are not sufficiently intuitive and obvious that they are inaccessible to low vision individuals; and,

- c. failed to take all steps as may be necessary to correct the access barriers in the face of substantial harm and discrimination to Plaintiff and similarly situated low vision individuals.
- 27. Defendant is in violation of Chapter 39. Virginia Human Rights Act » §2.2-3904. and as such, Plaintiff invokes his right to monetary and injunctive relief to remedy the discrimination

VII. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

*HEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests the Court to grant the following relief:

a. Compensatory damages in the amount of \$4,500 for overt discrimination which includes all applicable statutory, punitive damages and fines for violations of Plaintiffs civil rights under Chapter 39. Virginia

Human Rights Act » § 2.2-3904. and the laws of the Commonwealth of Virginia to cause a change in Defendants defective consumer labels; d. Such other and further relief as Court deems just and proper.

Signed this 19th day of October, 2023 at McLean, Virginia;

Jay Brodsky, Plaintiff

Docket No. BRODSKY V. PEPPERIDGE FARM INC

EXHIBIT #1

Jay Brodsky - Advocate and Activist for Disability Rights 7911 Westpark Drive, #1429

Mclean, VA 22102

Telephone: (973) 568-1666

E-mail: legallyblind419@gmail.com

Case No.

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA GENERAL DISTRICT COURT SMALL CLAIMS PART

THE MATTER OF:

Issues before the Court,

JAY BRODSKY PLAINTIFF Chapter 39. Virginia Human Rights Act » § 2.2-3904.

-against-

CAMPBELL SOUP COMPANY d/b/a
PEPPERIDGEFARMINC.
DEFENDANT(s)

ADMONITION

Poror N'etice

stamps endicia

Shipping Label Receipt

Delivery Confirmation™ Service Number:

0004 0206 2035 0955 1005 06851

First-Class Mail with *

Electronic Service Fee: \$0,000 Total Postage and Fees: \$1.83

Weight: 3 oz

Print Date: 10/07/2023

Malling Date: 10/07/2023

From:

Jay Brodsky

7911 Westpark Dr Apt 1429 McLean VA 22102-4290

To:

Pepperidge Farm Inc. Attention Legal Department

595 Westport Ave

Norwalk CT 06851-4413

USPS Postmark Here

'Regular First-Class Mail Service postage rates apply, service electronic fee is required. Postmark required if fee refund requested, pelivery information is not available by phone for the electronic option.

Instructions:

- Adhere shipping label to package with tape or glue DO NOT TAPE OVER BARCODE. Be sure all edges are secured. Self-adhesive label is recommended.
- 2. Place the label so it does not wrap around the edge of the package.
- This package may be deposited in any collection box, handed to your mail carrier, or presented to a clerk at your local Post Office.
- Each confirmation number is unique and can be used only once -DO NOT PHOTOCOPY.
- You must mail this package on the "mail date" that is specified on this label.

Docket No. BRODSKY V. PEPPERIDGE FARM INC

EXHIBIT #2



SOCIAL SECURALY ADMINISTRATION



Office of Disability Adjudication and Review SSA ODAR Hearing Ofc 730 Federal Plaza Central Islip, NY 11722-4466

Date: July 25, 2016



Jay Barry Brodsky 1585 Round Swamp Rd Room 137 Plainview, NY 11803

Notice of Decision - Fully Favorable

I carefully reviewed the facts of your case and made the enclosed fully favorable decision. Please read this notice and my decision.

Another office will process my decision and decide if you meet the non-disability requirements for Supplemental Security Income payments. That office may ask you for more information. If you do not hear anything within 60 days of the date of this notice, please contact your local office. The contact information for your local office is at the end of this notice.

If You Disagree With My Decision

If you disagree with my decision, you may file an appeal with the Appeals Council.

How To File An Appeal

To file an appeal you or your representative must ask in writing that the Appeals Council review my decision. You may use our Request for Review form (HA-520) or write a letter. The form is available at www.socialsecurity.gov. Please put the Social Security number shown above on any appeal you file. If you need help, you may file in person at any Social Security or hearing office.

Please send your request to:

Appeals Council
Office of Disability Adjudication aim Review
5107 Leesburg Pike
Falls Church, VA 22041-3255

Form HA-L76 (03-2010)

ase 1:24-cv-00206-RDA-LRV	200011011011	Bol	GN24001469~
BILL OF PARTICULARS Commonwealth of Virginia Rule 7B:2		Case No	B154001 101
			4/9/2/0 930 TRIAL DATE AND TIME
CITY OR COUNT			
Brodsky	STREET ADDRES	ss of court	Pepperidge Fari
O THE PLAINTIFF: ou are required to file with the court, a	nd serve by mailing, a	written BILL OF PART	ICULARS by 2/1/29
he defendant's written GROUNDS OF DE	FENSE is due to be file	d with the court and s	erved by mailing by 3/1/25
ou are further required to fully state, in efendant owes you the money or proper	n the numbered paragr	aphs below, each of t	he reasons/grounds why you think th
		B.11 -5	Partinelars
		A 6	0019
		1 '	
			194 150 194 150
ANALISM TO SEE			E T
			26
			> □
			8
] See continuation sheet.			
OTICES: Failure to comply with thi arty. Both parties must be prepared, xelude evidence as to matters not descri	at trial, to prove their	ds for awarding sumr case with admissible	nary judgment in favor of the adver- e evidence. Upon trial, the judge m
DATE		[] PLAINTIFF	[] PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY
	PRINT N	NAME	
	ADDDDES FOR FOLIOUS		
nailed to each attorney for the defendar	nt, or to the defendant i	CERTIFICATE of this BILL OF PART f not represented,	TICULARS to the clerk of this court a
his day of		, 20	
	No. of Philippe	SIGNATURE OF [] PLAIN	TIED [] DI AINTIEE'S ATTORNEY

1:24-cv-00206-RDA-LRV Document 1-1 Filed 02/12/24 Page 21 of 25 PageID# 25 GV23020027-00 BILL OF PARTICULARS Case No. Commonwealth of Virginia Rule 7B:2 TRIAL DATE AND TIME FAIRFAX COUNTY General District Court CITY OR COUNTY 4110 Chain Bridge Road, Fairfax, Virginia 22030 STREET ADDRESS OF COURT JAY BRODSKY PEPPERIDGE FARM, INC PLAINTIFF DEFENDANT TO THE PLAINTIFF: You are required to file with the court, and serve by mailing, a written BILL OF PARTICULARS by The defendant's written GROUNDS OF DEFENSE is due to be filed with the court and served by mailing by You are further required to fully state, in the numbered paragraphs below, each of the reasons/grounds why you think the defendant owes you the money or property claimed. You may attach additional paper if needed. Pepperidge Farm Inc. sells and markets its products to the public in a variety of public accommodations. Under Code of Virginia Title 2.2 § 2.2-3908, An aggrieved person who has been provided a notice of his right to file a civil action pursuant to § 2.2-3907 may commence a timely civil action in an appropriate general district or circuit court having jurisdiction over the person who allegedly unlawfully discriminated against such person. If the court or jury finds that unlawful discrimination has occurred, the court may award, compensatory and 2. punitive damages, reasonable attorney fees and costs including an order enjoining the defendant from engaging in such practice, or order such affirmative action as may be appropriate. Plaintiff on numerous occasions purchased Pepperidge Farm whole wheat bread only to discover that the use by 3. date had already expired. The Cleveland clinic wrote August 8, 2021, "However, in certain cases, the mold found on spoiled food could be dangerous, so if you suddenly develop symptoms such as shortness of breath, nausea, an elevated temperature or diarrhea." While attempting to access Defendants SELL BY DATE and SAFETY information, Plaintiff encountered more 4. than one accessibility barrier which included a font that was to small for low vision individuals to read, Discernible print on important consumer labels must be equally accessible or visible to low vision individuals who are members of a protected class under the ADA and Chapter 39. Virginia Human Rights Act » § 2.2-3904 Because Defendants important consumer information has never been fully and equally accessible to Plaintiff and 5. because it is presently inaccessible and unusable, Plaintiff invokes his rights under Chapter 39. Virginia Human Rights Act » § 2.2-3904, and the ADA. [x] See continuation sheet. NOTICES: Failure to comply with this order may be grounds for awarding summary judgment in favor of the adverse party. Both parties must be prepared, at trial, to prove their case with admissible evidence. Upon trial, the judge may exclude evidence as to matters not described in this pleading. January 16, 2024 [] PLA NTIFF'S ATTORNEY DATE [x] PLAINTIFF Jay Brodsky PRINT NAME 7911 Westpark Drive, #1429, McLean, VA 22102 ADDRESS /TELEPHONE NUMBER OF SIGNATOR PLAINTIFF'S CERTIFICATE I certify that I delivered or mailed a completed copy of this BILL OF PARTICULARS to the clerk of this court and mailed to each attorney for the defendant, or to the defendant if not represented, this ______, 20_____. SIGNATURE OF [] PLAINTIFF [] PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY

Clear All Data

ADDENDUM

Case No. GV23020027-00

Plaintiff - Jay Brodsky

Defendant - Pepperidge Farm, Inc.

- 6. Because Defendants important consumer information has never been fully and equally accessible to Plaintiff and because it is presently inaccessible and unusable to low vision, visually impaired and blind individuals, Plaintiff invokes his rights under Chapter 39. Virginia Human Rights Act » § 2.2-3904. and the ADA. PGA Tour, Inc. v. Martin, 532 U.S. 661, 674, 121 S.Ct. 1879, 149 L.Ed.2d 904 (2001), and to "provide clear, strong, consistent, enforceable standards" addressing that discrimination. 42 U.S.C. § 12101(b)(2). Title III of the ADA provides that "[n]o individual shall be discriminated against on the basis of disability in the full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations of any place of public accommodation." 42 U.S.C. § 12182(a).
- 7. Defendant generates significant revenues from selling and marketing its goods and products to the public. The amounts generated are far greater than the cost of remediating its important consumer labels so as to be fully and equally accessible to Plaintiff and similarly situated low vision individuals.
- To prevail under Title III of the ADA, a plaintiff must show that: (1) he
 is disabled within the meaning of the ADA; (2) the defendant owns,
 leases, or operates a place of public accommodation; and (3) the

- defendant discriminated against him because of his disability. See Ariz. ex rel. Goddard v. Harkins Amusement Enters., Inc., 603 F.3d 666, 670 (9th Cir. 2010); Camarillo v. Carrols Corp., 518 F.3d 153, 156 (2d Cir. 2008); see also Nat'l Fed'n of the Blind v. Lamone, 813 F.3d 494, 502–03 (4th Cir. 2016) (laying out similar standards under Title II of the ADA).
- 9. An impairment means any disorder or condition that affects "one or more body systems," such as sight, neurological, digestive, or immune systems. 28 C.F.R. § 36.105(b)(1)(i). Not every impairment constitutes a disability within the meaning of this section, but meets the definition if it substantially limits the ability of an individual to perform a major life activity as compared to most people in the general population. Seeing is a major life activity. "We must "permit those who are disabled to enjoy the protections of the ADA." Fraser v. Goodale, 342 F.3d 1032, 1041 (9th Cir. 2003).
- Adults who have experienced disability discrimination report significantly higher rates of depression, poor mental functioning, and overall worse health and quality of life than those who have no disability.
- Defendant constructs and maintains consumer labels which are not sufficiently intuitive and obvious that they are inaccessible to low vision individuals.
- 12. Plaintiff has suffered mental anguish as a result of Defendants discrimination and has suffered monetary damages over and over again after purchasing outdated and spoiled bread due to his inability to have access to its important information labels.
- 13. This is an example of Defendants important consumer labels:



UNDERSTANDING THE INFLUENCE OF STIGMA AND DISCRIMINATION FOR THE FUNCTIONAL LIMITATION SEVERITY – PSYCHOLOGICAL DISTRESS RELATIONSHIP

14. The principle that all people are equal in dignity and are entitled to the same fundamental rights is reflected in almost all human rights agreements, policies and law. Discrimination occurs when people are excluded from the full and equal enjoyment of their rights due to nationality, place of residence, sex, national or ethnic origin, color, religion, language, disability or some other status. Discrimination irrespective of its consequences is a violation of human rights and should be prevented in any reasonable society. The moral imperative to address discrimination is further increased by a mounting body

January 16, 2024 4 of 5

of evidence suggesting that people exposed to discrimination suffer deleterious mental and negative impact on health for a number of reasons, including restricting access to social and material resources required for health, stress and negative emotions having harmful psychological and physiological effects. "For many adults, dealing with discrimination results in a state of heightened vigilance and changes in behavior, which in itself can trigger stress responses that is, even the anticipation of discrimination is sufficient to cause people to become stressed,"

15. "This isn't just about ordering the likes of pizza or surfing Amazon," says Chris Danielson, a representative with the 2National Federation of the Blind (NFB). "People are doing everything online nowadays, so it's about blind people being able to access the likes of online banking, applying for employment and doing the necessary online tests, accessing cloud-based tools in the workplace and all the rest."



¹ Natalie McGill

The Nation's Health May/June 2016, 46 (4) E18

² The National Federation of the Blind (NFB) is an organization of blind people in the United States. It is the oldest and largest organization led by blind people in the United States. Its national headquarters are in Baltimore, Maryland.